



Institute of mechanics, materials and civil engineering

Prof. Philippe Chatelain







- Project description
  - Context
  - Technical
- Proposal writing
- Reviews
- Conclusions / Lessons learned







- Optimization of wind resource exploitation over concessions
- Wake phenomena
  - Power losses
  - Fatigue loads
- Wake dissipation crucial





• Optimization of wind resource exploitation over concessions

- Wake phenomena
  - Power losses
  - Fatigue loads
- Wake dissipation crucial







### Wakes and vortices





### Wakes and vortices







### Wakes and vortices





- Wake physics governed by vorticity dynamics,
- operating conditions
- ambient turbulence







## **Trends and challenges**

- Cost-effectiveness (LCOE) drives turbines to larger sizes
- Upscaling of classical Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine sustainable beyond 20MW?
- Nacelle/generator weight most penalizing factor
- 10MW = 400 metric tons 20MW = 1100 metric tons









- Converters based on
  - Superconducting windings
  - New permanent magnets
- Vertical Axis Wind Turbines (VAWTs) bring the nacelle down
  - Cheaper structures/foundations
  - Lower maintenance costs



10MW generator (currents = 58 A/mm<sup>2</sup>), Suprapower project



### 10MW Upwind turbine





## **Vertical Axis Wind Turbines**

- Inherently unsteady aerodynamics
- Wake physics poorly known
  - Topology?
  - Decay mechanisms?
- Claims of faster decay enabling higher power densities for farms



























































"Corner" vortices = result of merging of upstream shed vortices (mostly)







### Vertical axis wind turbines for future large offshore farms

- SC) about to transition to Juqueen (IBM BlueGene/Q)
- Turbulent decay of wake of a single VAWT
- Scenarios with multiple machines interacting through their wakes

# Proposal for May 2012 call: 35 MCPUhours on Jugene (IBM BlueGene/P, Jülich)



- UCLouvain (Ph. Chatelain):
  - Numerical method: Vortex Particle-Mesh method and immersed lifting lines
  - its massively parallel implementation
- ETH Zurich (P. Koumoutsakos)
  - Open-source Parallel Particle-Mesh (PPM) library
- IBM Research Zurich (A. Curioni)
- GE Global Research Munich (D. von Terzi)
  - Industrial back-up



### **UCLouvain**









- UCLouvain (Ph. Chatelain):
  - Numerical method: Vortex Particle-Mesh method and immersed lifting lines
  - its massively parallel implementation
- ETH Zurich (P. Koumoutsakos)
  - Open-source Parallel Particle-Mesh (PPM) library
- IBM Research Zurich (A. Curioni)
- GE Global Research Munich (D. von Terzi)
  - Industrial back-up









- UCLouvain (Ph. Chatelain):
  - Numerical method: Vortex Particle-Mesh method and immersed lifting lines
  - its massively parallel implementation
- ETH Zurich (P. Koumoutsakos)
  - Open-source Parallel Particle-Mesh (PPM) library
- IBM Research Zurich (A. Curioni)
- GE Global Research Munich (D. von Terzi)
  - Industrial back-up



- UCLouvain (Ph. Chatelain):
  - Numerical method: Vortex Particle-Mesh method and immersed lifting lines
  - its massively parallel implementation
- ETH Zurich (P. Koumoutsakos)
  - Open-source Parallel Particle-Mesh (PPM) library
- IBM Research Zurich (A. Curioni)
- GE Global Research Munich (D. von Terzi)
  - Industrial back-up



# **Consortium: existing collaborations**

UCLouvain - ETH Zurich

Numerical method - implementation

• UCLouvain - GE GR

Application of VPM to wind turbine wakes

• ETH Zurich - IBM Zurich

Software deployment on IBM BlueGene architectures



# **Proposal writing: technical justifications**

- Scientific challenges
  - insights into decay of VAWT turbulent wakes
  - power production of arrays of interacting VAWTs
- Societal impact and timeliness
  - Potential game-changer for wind energy
  - Low carbon economy





# **Proposal writing: justifications**

- Methodological adequacy case for VPM method in large-scale simulation vortex dynamics, wind turbine and aircraft was
- Software readiness
  - Proof-of-concept runs
  - Scalability tests already performed on BGP architecture
  - Production campaigns on BGP (up to 32k cores)
- In-situ processing not ready but proposed as collaboration work with Jülich SC



0	n	OŤ	1
a	ke	es	

# cores	256	512	1024	2048	4096	8192	16384
absolute timing (s)	254.39	253.54	261.22	261.39	263.21	269.17	273.80
η <sub>weak</sub>	1	1.003	0.974	0.973	0.967	0.945	0.929

Table 1: Time per timestep for the weak scaling runs on the BG/P



Figure 2: Weak (blue) and strong (green) scalability of the vortex client on BG/P





# **Proposal writing: justifications**

- Methodological adequacy case for VPM method in large-scale simulation vortex dynamics, wind turbine and aircraft was
- Software readiness
  - Proof-of-concept runs
  - Scalability tests already performed on BGP architecture
  - Production campaigns on BGP (up to 32k cores)
- In-situ processing not ready but proposed as collaboration work with Jülich SC



0	n	OŤ	1
a	ke	es	

# cores	256	512	1024	2048	4096	8192	16384
absolute timing (s)	254.39	253.54	261.22	261.39	263.21	269.17	273.80
η <sub>weak</sub>	1	1.003	0.974	0.973	0.967	0.945	0.929

Table 1: Time per timestep for the weak scaling runs on the BG/P



Figure 2: Weak (blue) and strong (green) scalability of the vortex client on BG/P





# **Proposal writing: resource justification**

- Detailed plan of production campaign Run type, number
- Leveraging scalability studies to provi **CPU** costs
- Also memory and storage footprints
- Include deployment/test/scalability checks
- No preparatory calls yet back in 2012

Run type					points per D	Do	main length (D)		Grid				
		Single_0			160		8		512x512x1280				
		Pair_0			160		16		512x512x2560				
		Single_1			256		8		768x768x2048				
		Single_2,	Pair_1		256		16		768x768x4096				
		Single_3			384		8		1024x1024x3072				
ida		Farm_1			256		16		768x512x4096				
iuc		Farm_2			256		16		768x1024x4096				
		Farm_3			256		16		1024x2048x4096				
	Run type	# Runs	# Steps/F	Run	Walltime/Ste	p (s)	# CPU cores (/10	24)	Total core hours	s/Type Run			
	Single_0	1	3.0E	2+04		5.0		4		1.7E+0:			
	Single_1	1	3.0E	2+04		15.0		4		5.1E+05			
	Single_2	2	3.0E	2+04		17.0	8 16			2.3E+06			
	Single_3	1	4.5E	2+04		18.0				3.7E+06			
	Pair_0	1	3.0E	2+04		6.0		8		4.1E+05			
	Pair_1	4	3.0E	2+04		25.0		8		6.8E+06			
	Farm_1	2	2 3.0E+0			20.0		8		2.7E+06 6.0E+06			
	Farm_2	Run type         Single_0         Pair_0         Single_1         Single_2, Pair_1         Single_3         Farm_1         Farm_2         Farm_3         n type       # Runs       # Steps/Rung         gle_0       1       3.0E4         gle_1       1       3.0E4         gle_3       1       4.5E4         ir_0       1       3.0E4         gle_3       1       4.5E4         ir_1       4       3.0E4         gle_3       1       3.0E4         gle_3       1       3.0E4         gle_3       1       3.0E4         ir_1       2       3.0E4         rm_1       2       3.0E4         rm_3       2       3.0E4		2+04		22.0		16					
	Farm_3	2	3.0E	2+04		22.0		32		1.2E+07			
	TOTAL									<i>3.5E+07</i>			
-		I	-	Tahl	a 3. Compu	Itatic	nal roquiromor	nte	I				

Table 5. Computational requirements





# Proposal writing: Gantt chart

2012	2013
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	7 48 49 50 51 52 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
[ 35 Day(s) ]	
Set-up, porting [ 20 Day(s) ]{UCL},ETHZ,IBMZ	
Sealability check [ 15 Day(s) ] {UCL},ETHZ,IBMZ	
[ 173 Day(s) ]	on runs
[ 85 Day(s) ]	
Low resolution checks [ 28 Day(s) ]	
Production runs [ 22 Day(s) ] UCL},GEGR	
[ 57 Day(s) ]	EGR},UCL
[ 66 Day(s) ]	
[ 45 Day(s) ]	{UCL},GEGR
[ 43 Day(s) ]	ost-processing {GEGR},UCL,ETHZ
[78 D	Farm
[ 60 D	Production runs {UCL},GEGR
	[ 57 Day(s) ] {GEGR},UCL,ETHZ
[ 140 Day(s) ]	Reporting and dissemination
[ 25 Day(s) ]	Mid-term report {UCL},ETHZ,GEGR
[ 95 Day(s) ]	Ercoftac database {GEGR},UCL,ETHZ
	Publications and final report [ 66 Day(s) ] [ 00 [ 00 [ 00 ] [ 00





# Proposal writing: Gantt chart

20	12																											
19	20	21	22 nitia	23	24	25	26	5 27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
	[ 35	Day	y(s)]	+	, L,	Prepa	ırat	ory w	ork	_			Ľ	Ľ														
	[ 20	Day	y(s) ]	Se	t-up [ 1	, por 15 Da	ting y(s)	 	CL},E ability	THZ,II y che	BMZ ck {U(	CL},E	THZ,	IBMZ														
_	H	+	-	H	H	H	-	[1]	73 Da	iy(s)]	-	F	F	F		F	F	Sin	gle									
								[3	28 Da	ıy(s) ]		ow r	esolı	ution [22	chec Day(s	ks ;)]	{UC Pro	L} duct	ion		7	r 2C	a )1	ns 2	Sit	tic	bn	) 1
								F					F	[ 57	Day(s			[6	56		N	Л		าt	h	lv	C	IU
	H	-					-	F													•		•			- <b>y</b>		
																											[6	0 Da
	Η	+	_	H	-	H	-	ŀ	ŀ	H	-	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	H	H	H	ŀ	ŀ	H	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	[14	40 Da	y(s)]	-
																									[2	25 Da	y(s) ]	
	-	+		-	-	-	ŀ	ŀ		-		H	-	ŀ							-		-		[ 9	95 Da	y(s) ]	Ľ







- <u>Technical</u> (Gauss/Jülich SC): allocation strongly recommended
  - mentioned (although could not get it to work at JSC)
- <u>Scientific</u> (4 reviewers), salient points:
  - basic post-processing as milestone
  - Mention of preliminary scalability studies: account for IO and application-specific effects on performance for more accurate evaluation of CPU costs

  - For wakes, only large scales matter: wake generated by fine blade-shed vortical structures, instabilities and merging lead to localized inverse cascade, crucial for decay

• IO loads of full 3D flow field dumps: alleviation by coarsened/zoomed dumps, in-situ processing

• No milestones in project planning: clarification that each sub-campaign in fact entails production and

• Box size effects: clarification that method entails no blockage effect (exact unbounded directions)





- <u>Technical</u> (Gauss/Jülich SC): allocation strongly recommended
  - mentioned (although could not get it to work at JSC)
- <u>Scientific</u> (4 reviewers), salient points:
  - basic post-pro
  - Mention of pre performance
  - Box size effect
  - For wakes, or instabilities ar

- very appreciative of proposed work
- secondary aspects (dissemination),...

• IO loads of full 3D flow field dumps: alleviation by coarsened/zoomed dumps, in-situ processing

• No milestones • 2 reviewers out of 4 familiar with our track record,

1 reviewer's questions revealed lesser proficiency in vorticity-based methods, wakes, turbulence,...

1 reviewer's questions focused on project planning, lictures,

s production and

ects on

ed directions)





- This PRACE allocation was my 3rd attempt at call-based CPU allocation
  - equations singularity
  - ...met with quite antagonistic scientific reviews
  - (Four years later, PRACE grants Millenium problem-related allocation to Italian teams with questionable track-record and methodology)
- Success probably due to...
  - a good story
  - unquestionable scientific and societal impact
  - care in proposal writing with well laid out plan

• First two attempts (PRACE and INCITE) focused on Clay Millenium problem: Navier-Stokes/Euler







